

Flow Equation of $\mathcal{N}=1$ Supersymmetric $O(N)$ Nonlinear Sigma Model in Two Dimensions

Sinya Aoki,^a Kengo Kikuchi,^{b,*} Tetsuya Onogi^c

^a*Center for Gravitational Physics, Yukawa Institute for Theoretical Physics, Kyoto University, Kyoto 606-8502, Japan*

^b*Maskawa Institute for Science and Culture, Kyoto Sangyo University, Kyoto 603-8555, Japan*

^c*Department of Physics, Osaka University, Toyonaka, Osaka 560-0043, Japan*

E-mail: saoki@yukawa.kyoto-u.ac.jp, kengo@yukawa.kyoto-u.ac.jp,
onogi@phys.sci.osaka-u.ac.jp

ABSTRACT: We study the flow equation for the $\mathcal{N} = 1$ supersymmetric $O(N)$ nonlinear sigma model in two dimensions, which cannot be given by the gradient of the action, as evident from dimensional analysis. Imposing the condition on the flow equation that it respects both the supersymmetry and the $O(N)$ symmetry, we show that the flow equation has a specific form, which however contains an undetermined function of the supersymmetric derivatives D and \bar{D} . Taking the most simple choice, we propose a flow equation for this model. As an application of the flow equation, we give the solution of the equation at the leading order in the large N expansion. The result shows that the flow of the superfield in the model is dominated by the scalar term, since the supersymmetry is unbroken in the original model. It is also shown that the two point function of the superfield is finite at the leading order of the large N expansion.

*Corresponding author.

Contents

1	Introduction	2
2	The Flow Equation	3
3	The Model	5
4	Results	7
4.1	Solution to the Flow Equation	7
4.2	Finiteness of Two Point Function	9
5	Summary and Discussion	9
A	$\mathcal{N} = 1$ SUSY in two dimensions	10
B	SNLSM in two dimensions in the large N limit	12
B.1	Action	12
B.2	Super Propagator	13
B.3	Saddle Point Equation	14
B.4	Action Density	15

1 Introduction

Recently, the method of the gradient flow [1–3] has been the focus of research in lattice QCD. The attractive properties of this method lies in the ultraviolet (UV) finiteness of the composite operators constructed from the fields at finite flow time t , which was shown in Ref. [2, 3]. Based on this remarkable properties, there have been a lot of applications to the studies of physical observables. In Ref. [4], nonperturbative renormalization and $O(a)$ improvement of the axial current as well as the accurate determination of the chiral condensate were studied using the chiral Ward-Takahashi identities. In Ref. [5], the perturbatively renormalized energy momentum tensor in the continuum theory was extracted from the composite operator at finite flow time t . The energy momentum tensor so defined was used to compute the equation of state in the Yang-Mills theory [6]. Nonperturbative renormalization of the energy momentum tensor was obtained in Ref. [7].

Being finite, the correlation functions at finite flow time are regularization independent and shares the same symmetry properties as the continuum theory. Therefore, even if the lattice regularization breaks the global symmetries such as chiral symmetry or translation/dilatation symmetry, they can serve as natural probes of Ward-Takahashi identities.

One of the most difficult but interesting problems is the lattice regularization of the supersymmetry and the nonperturbative studies of its dynamics. In the $\mathcal{N} = 1$ supersymmetric pure Yang-Mills theory, naive lattice regularization breaks the supersymmetry, so that the fermion mass parameter should be fine-tuned to recover the supersymmetry in the continuum limit [8], by imposing the chiral Ward-Takahashi identity. Even after this fine-tuning, it is still hard to confirm the recovery of the supersymmetry in the continuum limit via supersymmetric Ward-Takahashi identity in nonperturbative lattice simulations due to various systematic errors [9]. This suggests that the fine-tuning program for more general supersymmetric theories such as $\mathcal{N} = 1$ supersymmetric QCD, where there exist five supersymmetry breaking parameters that are needed to be fine-tuned, is hopelessly difficult. In view of this situation, it is natural to expect that once the flow equation is extended to supersymmetric theories, they may help us to improve the study of supersymmetric lattice field theories.

For historical reasons, the flow equation has been called the “gradient” flow equation, since the Yang-Mills flow equation, which is a typical example of the flow equations, is constructed by the gradient of the action. Flow equations, however, are not always obtained by the gradient of the action. For example, the flow equation for the quarks in QCD cannot be obtained by the gradient of the action [3]. Also, in the $\mathcal{N} = 1$ supersymmetric pure Yang-Mills theory, the flow equation can no longer be a simple gradient of the action and the generalized gradient flow equation has to be introduced [10], in order to keep the supersymmetry.

What do we need for the flow equation ? Actually, it depends on the purpose. Our

ultimate goal is to use the flow equation in order to construct the lattice supersymmetry and study its dynamics through Monte-Carlo simulations, following the success for the chiral dynamics in QCD and the energy momentum tensor in Yang-Mills theory. For this goal, we require that the flow equation should respect the supersymmetry and should have the remarkable properties of the UV finiteness for the composite operators at finite flow time t . While the former properties can be imposed by construction, whether or not the latter property is satisfied can only be seen after studying the resulting equation. Since the flow equation may not be unique, the construction of a desirable flow equation may require a trial-and-error process. Only after the latter properties are established, one can use it as a good probe for supersymmetric Ward-Takahashi identities.

In this paper, we carry out the construction of the flow equation for a supersymmetric theory as a first step towards the study of lattice supersymmetric theories, taking the $\mathcal{N} = 1$ supersymmetric $O(N)$ nonlinear sigma model in two dimensions as a toy model. Since this model is analytically solvable in the large N limit, one can also address the question whether the UV finiteness at finite time t is realized or not. Imposing the condition that the flow equation respect the $O(N)$ symmetry and SUSY, and requiring that only four supersymmetric derivative operators appear in the equation, we can restrict the flow equations to a specific form. Choosing the simplest example as our choice of the flow equation, we give the solution of the equation at the leading order in the large N expansion. We show that the flow equation of the superfield in this model is dominated by the flow of its scalar term, since the SUSY is dynamically unbroken in the large N limit. We show that the two point function in terms of the superfield is finite in the large N limit. Although the UV finiteness for operators including sub-leading terms are not shown yet, our finding can give a promising test ground towards the study of lattice supersymmetric theories.

This paper is organized as follows. In Sec.2 we give general requirements to construct the flow equation. In Sec.3, using the requirements, we construct the flow equation of the $\mathcal{N} = 1$ supersymmetric $O(N)$ nonlinear sigma model (SNLSM) in two dimensions as a concrete example, which is compatible with the SUSY. We show the finiteness of the two point function in the large N limit in Sec.4. We summarize our results of this paper in Sec.5. Some general properties for SUSY in two dimensions are presented in Appendix A, while the dynamics of SNLSM in two dimensions is discussed in Appendix B.

2 The Flow Equation

We here propose two conditions for the flow equation to satisfy.

I Properties of the system are preserved by the flow equation.

In particular, we consider two properties as

a) the constraint of the system,

b) the symmetry of the system.

We refer the conditions corresponding to these properties as "I-a" and "I-b" respectively.

II . The linear part of the flow equation for a field Ω should be given by a diffusion equation as

$$\frac{\partial \Omega}{\partial t} = \square \Omega + \dots, \quad (2.1)$$

to keep the smearing property of the flow equation. This means that the mass dimension of the flow time is -2 . *

We here consider the condition II. If the kinetic part of the action is given by

$$S_0(\Omega) = \int d^D x \Omega(x) K(x) \Omega(x), \quad (2.2)$$

where K is an inverse propagator whose mass dimension is $[K] = D - 2[\Omega]$, and the gradient of this action has the mass dimension $D - [\Omega]$, while the mass dimension of the left-hand side (L.H.S.) of eq. (2.1) is $[\Omega] + 2$. Therefore, if

$$[\Omega] = \frac{D - 2}{2}. \quad (2.3)$$

is satisfied, the gradient flow equation is given by the gradient of the action.

The condition eq. (2.3) is satisfied for the Yang-Mills field and the scalar field, while can not be satisfied for the fermion such as the quark field in QCD [4]. Even if the condition eq. (2.3) is satisfied, the condition I sometimes requires the generalized gradient flow equation in order to keep the nonlinearly realized symmetry [10]. The $O(N)$ nonlinear sigma model (NLSM) is such an example.

If the system has a SUSY, the condition has to be modified slightly. The mass dimension of the right-hand side (R.H.S.) of the gradient flow equation given by the variation of the action are shifted by $\mathcal{N}/2$, where \mathcal{N} is a number of supercharges. In fact, the supersymmetric action is provided by

$$S(\Omega) = \int d^D x d^{\mathcal{N}} \theta \Omega(x, \theta) K(x, \theta) \Omega(x, \theta), \quad (2.4)$$

where $[d\theta] = 1/2$ and the mass dimension of an inverse super propagator $K(x, \theta)$ is given by

$$[K] = D - 2[\Omega] - \frac{\mathcal{N}}{2}. \quad (2.5)$$

* There is also an interesting extension to a wider class of the flow equation with higher derivatives in $\lambda\phi^4$ theory in Ref.[11], which we will not discuss in this paper.

Imposing the condition that the mass dimension of the L.H.S. and R.H.S. of the gradient flow equation match, i.e. $[\Omega] + 2 = [K] + [\Omega]$, one obtains

$$[\Omega] = \frac{D-2}{2} - \frac{\mathcal{N}}{4}. \quad (2.6)$$

Eq. (2.6) is the condition that the flow equation can be obtained by the gradient of the action in the supersymmetric theory, and it reduces to eq. (2.3) when there is no SUSY ($\mathcal{N} = 0$).

For a field theory with \mathcal{N} supercharges (including the case $\mathcal{N} = 0$), if the condition eq. (2.6) is not satisfied, the flow equation can not be obtained by the gradient of the action. While the flow equation of the NLSM in two dimensions without SUSY satisfies the condition eq. (2.6) with $\mathcal{N} = 0$ (i.e. eq. (2.3)), and thus has been extensively studied in Refs.[10, 12–14], its $\mathcal{N} = 1$ supersymmetric extension does not satisfy the condition eq. (2.6), so we cannot obtain the flow equation by the gradient of the action. In the next section, we construct the flow equation of the SNLSM in two dimensions which satisfies two requirements I and II.

3 The Model

As a concrete example, we analyze the flow equation of the $\mathcal{N} = 1$ SNLSM in two dimensions, whose action is provided by

$$S(\Phi) = \frac{1}{2g^2} \int_{x,\theta} \bar{D}\Phi D\Phi, \quad (3.1)$$

where the superfield $\Phi(x, \theta) = \varphi(x) + \bar{\theta}\psi(x) + \frac{1}{2}\bar{\theta}\theta F(x)$ is a N components vector field, which satisfies

$$\sum_{\alpha=1}^N (\Phi^\alpha(x, \theta))^2 = 1. \quad (3.2)$$

The detailed analysis of the model is given in the Appendix B.

We demand the condition I-a that the flow equation keeps the constraint. We extend the constraint eq. (3.2) to the one in terms of the flowed field at any flow time such that

$$\sum_{\alpha=1}^N (\Phi^\alpha(t, x, \theta))^2 = 1. \quad (3.3)$$

If we differentiate both sides of eq. (3.3) with respect to the flow time, we obtain

$$\sum_{\alpha=1}^N \Phi^\alpha(t, x, \theta) \frac{d\Phi^\alpha(t, x, \theta)}{dt} = 0. \quad (3.4)$$

Since this equation means that the LHS of the flow equation of the model is orthogonal to the superfield Φ , the RHS of the flow equation should be proportional to the projection operator such that

$$\frac{d\Phi^\alpha(t, x, \theta)}{dt} = \left(\delta^{\alpha\beta} - \Phi^\alpha \Phi^\beta \right) F^\beta, \quad (3.5)$$

which, together with eq. (3.2), leads to eq. (3.3) as follows. We modify eq. (3.5) a little as

$$\frac{d\Phi^\alpha(t, x, \theta)}{dt} = \left(\Phi^2 \delta^{\alpha\beta} - \Phi^\alpha \Phi^\beta \right) F^\beta, \quad (3.6)$$

so that

$$\frac{d}{dt} \sum_{\alpha=1}^N (\Phi^\alpha(t, x, \theta))^2 = 0, \quad (3.7)$$

which implies

$$\sum_{\alpha=1}^N (\Phi^\alpha(t, x, \theta))^2 = \sum_{\alpha=1}^N (\Phi^\alpha(0, x, \theta))^2 = \sum_{\alpha=1}^N (\Phi^\alpha(x, \theta))^2 = 1. \quad (3.8)$$

Since eq. (3.3) now holds, eq. (3.6) reduces to eq. (3.5).

We also impose the condition I-b that the flow equation retains the supersymmetry, which implies that F^α should be constructed by the super field Φ as well as the covariant derivative operators \bar{D} and D . Since these covariant derivative commute with super transformation operator $\bar{\xi}Q$, then the R.H.S. of (3.5) with $F^\beta(\Phi, \bar{D}, D)$ transforms as

$$F^\beta(\Phi, \bar{D}, D) \rightarrow F^\beta(\Phi, \bar{D}, D) + \bar{\xi}Q F^\beta(\Phi, \bar{D}, D) \quad (3.9)$$

under the infinitesimal super transformation

$$\Phi \rightarrow \Phi + \bar{\xi}Q\Phi. \quad (3.10)$$

This is because 1) a product of arbitrary superfields Φ and Ξ is another superfield and $\bar{\xi}Q$ satisfies the Leibnitz rule

$$\bar{\xi}Q(\Phi\Xi) = \bar{\xi}Q(\Phi)\Xi + \Phi\bar{\xi}Q(\Xi), \quad (3.11)$$

2) for any superfield Φ , $D_\alpha\Phi$ is another superfield, and 3) Q and D anticommutes so that

$$D_\alpha(\bar{\xi}Q\Phi) = \bar{\xi}Q(D_\alpha\Phi) \quad (3.12)$$

holds. By repeatedly using 1), 2), 3) one can show that any function F made of Φ , $D_\alpha\Phi$, and higher D derivatives obey the transformation rule in Eq.(3.9). The same property 1), 2), 3) can also holds for the product of the projection operator $(\delta^{\alpha\beta} - \Phi^\alpha\Phi^\beta)$ and the Field F^β so that the R.H.S. transforms as

$$(\delta^{\alpha\beta} - \Phi^\alpha\Phi^\beta) F^\beta \rightarrow (\delta^{\alpha\beta} - \Phi^\alpha\Phi^\beta) F^\beta + \bar{\xi}Q \left[(\delta^{\alpha\beta} - \Phi^\alpha\Phi^\beta) F^\beta \right]. \quad (3.13)$$

The L.H.S. transforms as

$$\frac{d\Phi^\alpha(t, x, \theta)}{dt} \rightarrow \frac{d\Phi^\alpha(t, x, \theta)}{dt} + \bar{\xi}Q \left(\frac{d\Phi^\alpha(t, x, \theta)}{dt} \right), \quad (3.14)$$

if ξ and $\bar{\xi}$ are t independent. Thus the flow equation (3.5) keeps the supersymmetry.

Since the mass dimension of the super field Φ must be zero due to eq. (3.3), the mass dimension of F^β should be equal to two. Let us finally impose the condition II eq. (2.1), i.e. the linear part of the flow equation should include diffusion part. The simplest choice of F^β [†] is given by

$$F^\beta = \bar{D}D\bar{D}D\Phi^\beta, \quad (3.15)$$

which leads to the flow equation of SNLSM in two dimensions as

$$\frac{d\Phi^\alpha}{dt} = (\delta^{\alpha\beta} - \Phi^\alpha\Phi^\beta)\bar{D}D\bar{D}D\Phi^\beta, \quad (3.16)$$

where the superfield Φ^α automatically satisfies the constraint eq. (3.3), as shown before. If we solve this constraint, the flow equation becomes

$$\frac{d\Phi^a}{dt} = (\delta^{ab} - \Phi^a\Phi^b)\bar{D}D\bar{D}D\Phi^b - \Phi^a\sqrt{1-\Phi^2}\bar{D}D\bar{D}D\sqrt{1-\Phi^2}, \quad (3.17)$$

where we take $\alpha = 1, 2, \dots, N$ while $a = 1, 2, \dots, N-1$. After a little algebra and the redefinition of $4t$ to t , we obtain

$$\frac{d\Phi^a}{dt} = \partial^2\Phi^a + \Phi^a\partial\Phi^b\partial\Phi^b + \frac{\Phi^a(\Phi^b\partial\Phi^b)^2}{1-\Phi^2}, \quad (3.18)$$

which is identical to the gradient flow equation of the two dimensional $O(N)$ NLSM if the superfield Φ is replaced by the scalar field ϕ [10].

We can also show that the equation is invariant under the global $O(N)$ rotation by transforming both sides of eq. (3.18) by operating δ , which is defined by

$$\delta\Phi^\alpha(t, x, \theta) = \sum_{\beta=1}^N \omega^{\alpha\beta}\Phi^\beta \quad (3.19)$$

$$= \sum_{b=1}^{N-1} \omega^{ab}\Phi^b \pm \omega^{aN} \sqrt{1 - \sum_{b=1}^{N-1} (\Phi^b)^2}, \quad (3.20)$$

where ω 's are the infinitesimal parameters for the $O(N)$ rotation.

4 Results

4.1 Solution to the Flow Equation

We solve the flow equation constructed in eq. (3.16) in the large N limit and examine whether the solutions are UV finite or not. Let us consider the flow time dependent of the two point function. In the large N limit, the flow equation is reduced to

$$\frac{d\Phi^a(t, p, \theta)}{dt} = - \int_p^3 \Phi^a(t, p_1, \theta)(p_2 \cdot p_3) \langle \Phi^b(t, p_2, \theta) \Phi^b(t, p_3, \theta) \rangle. \quad (4.1)$$

[†]The term $(\Phi^\alpha\bar{D}D\Phi^\alpha)\bar{D}D\Phi^\beta$ is also allowed, but we take the most simple choice here.

In order to solve eq. (4.1), we employ the following ansatz,

$$\Phi^a(t, p, \theta) = F(t, \theta) e^{-tp^2} \Phi^a(0, p, \theta), \quad (4.2)$$

where F is a superfield function given by $F(t, \theta) = f(t) + \bar{\theta}g(t) + \frac{1}{2}\bar{\theta}\theta H(t)$. As shown in appendix B, the two point function of the superfield Φ at $t = 0$ and $\theta = \theta'$ is given by

$$\langle \Phi^a(0, p, \theta) \Phi^b(0, q, \theta') \rangle = \frac{\kappa}{N} \delta^{ab} \hat{\delta}(p + q) \frac{1}{p^2 + m^2} + O\left(\frac{1}{N^2}\right), \quad (4.3)$$

where $\kappa = g^2 N$ is the t'Hooft coupling. Using this, we obtain the equation for the superfield F as

$$\frac{dF(t, \theta)}{dt} = \kappa F^3(t, \theta) I(t, m), \quad F(0) = 1, \quad (4.4)$$

where

$$I(t, m) \equiv \int_q q^2 e^{-2q^2 t} \left(\frac{1}{q^2 + m^2} \right). \quad (4.5)$$

In the component field expression, eq. (4.4) reads,

$$\frac{df(t)}{dt} = \kappa f^3(t) I(t, m), \quad (4.6)$$

$$\frac{dg(t)}{dt} = 0, \quad (4.7)$$

$$\frac{dH(t)}{dt} = 3\kappa f^2(t) H(t) I(t, m) \quad (4.8)$$

with the initial conditions

$$f(0) = 1, g(0) = 0, H(0) = 0. \quad (4.9)$$

We can easily solve these differential equations as

$$f(t) = e^{-m^2 t} \sqrt{\frac{\ln \frac{\Lambda^2 + m^2}{m^2}}{\text{Ei}\{-2t(\Lambda^2 + m^2)\} - \text{Ei}(-2tm^2)}}, \quad (4.10)$$

$$g(t) = 0, \quad H(t) = 0, \quad (4.11)$$

where $\text{Ei}(x)$ is the exponential integral function defined by

$$\text{Ei}(-x) = \int dx \frac{e^{-x}}{x}. \quad (4.12)$$

Thus the solution of the flow equation finally becomes

$$\Phi^a(t, p, \theta) = f(t) e^{-tp^2} \Phi^a(0, p, \theta). \quad (4.13)$$

A remarkable feature is that the flow time dependence of the fields are common for all components of the superfield. This manifestly shows that the flow equations keeps the supersymmetry in the sense that the flow time evolution and supersymmetry transformation commute with each other. It is also interesting to see that the scalar component of the solution has the same form as in non-supersymmetric $O(N)$ NLSM.

4.2 Finiteness of Two Point Function

Using the same discussion in Ref.[13], we show the finiteness of the two point function in terms of the flowed superfield at the leading order in the large N expansion as

$$\langle \Phi^a(t, p, \theta) \Phi^b(t', p', \theta') \rangle = f(t) f(t') e^{-tp^2} e^{-t'p'^2} \langle \Phi^a(0, p, \theta) \Phi^b(0, p', \theta') \rangle \quad (4.14)$$

$$= f(t) f(t') \frac{\kappa}{N} \delta^{ab} e^{-(t+t')p^2} \hat{\delta}(p + p') \times \left(\frac{1 + \frac{1}{2}m(\bar{\theta}\theta + \bar{\theta}'\theta') - \frac{1}{4}p^2\bar{\theta}\theta\bar{\theta}'\theta'}{p^2 + m^2} - \frac{\bar{\theta}(-i\not{p} + m)\theta'}{p^2 + m^2} \right), \quad (4.15)$$

where the coefficient is given by

$$\lim_{\Lambda \rightarrow \infty} \kappa f(t) f(t') = 4\pi \frac{e^{-m^2(t+t')}}{\sqrt{-\text{Ei}(-2tm^2)} \sqrt{-\text{Ei}(-2t'm^2)}}, \quad (4.16)$$

which is finite as long as $tt' \neq 0$. We finally obtain the two point function in terms of the flowed superfield as

$$\langle \Phi^a(t, p, \theta) \Phi^b(t', p', \theta') \rangle = \frac{4\pi e^{-(p^2+m^2)(t+t')} \delta^{ab} \hat{\delta}(p + p')}{N \sqrt{-\text{Ei}(-2tm^2)} \sqrt{-\text{Ei}(-2t'm^2)}} \times \left(\frac{1 + \frac{1}{2}m(\bar{\theta}\theta + \bar{\theta}'\theta') - \frac{1}{4}p^2\bar{\theta}\theta\bar{\theta}'\theta'}{p^2 + m^2} - \frac{\bar{\theta}(-i\not{p} + m)\theta'}{p^2 + m^2} \right). \quad (4.17)$$

The fact that the flow equation preserves the supersymmetry and the two point functions (or hopefully n-point functions) is finite can open a possibility of future applications to lattice supersymmetries. Although the supersymmetry is violated by the lattice regularization like the continuous translation symmetry, one may be able to construct the supersymmetric current from the composite operators of flowed fields in the small time limit, following the study of Suzuki [5] for the energy momentum tensor. Another interesting application is to use the flowed fields as a good probe for the supersymmetric Ward-Takahashi identity, which is used as a measure for the fine-tuning of lattice parameters in order to recover the supersymmetry in the continuum limit[7]. These studies are in progress.

5 Summary and Discussion

In this paper, we study requirements for the flow equation to satisfy, which are summarized as follows.

I Properties of the system such as the constraint and the symmetry are preserved by the flow equation.

II The linear part of the flow equation is given by a diffusion equation.

On the bases of these requirements, we obtain the flow equation of the $\mathcal{N} = 1$ SNLSM in two dimensions. The flow equation we constructed has the manifest $O(N)$ symmetry and SUSY, while keeping the constraint $\Phi^2 = 1$ at any flow time. We give the solution of the equation at the leading order of the large N expansion.

There are two results in the analysis. First of all, the flow of the superfield in the model is dominated by the flow of its scalar term, since the SUSY is not broken dynamically in the original theory in two dimensions. Secondly, we show that the two point function of the superfield is finite at the leading order in the large N expansion. In particular, this result means that the two point function of the fermion field is also finite. Although we have so far studied the two point functions only, it is worth mentioning that our study is the first case to show the finiteness of the two point function for flowed fields in the supersymmetric theory non-perturbatively. In order to complete the proof for the finiteness of flowed fields, one has to consider the analysis including the sub-leading order in large N expansion[15].

It is important to construct the supercurrent in the lattice field theory, using this SUSY flow equation. It is also interesting to analyze properties of other models, e.g. NLSMs with the extended SUSY or ones in the difference dimensions. More general method to construct the flow equation is needed. Finally, one may consider the induced metric discussed in Ref.[15–17] using the supersymmetric flowed field analyzed in this paper.

Acknowledgments

The authors would like to thank H.Suzuki, S.Yamaguchi, S.Terashima and T.Nosaka for useful discussions. S. A. is supported in part by the Grant-in-Aid of the Japanese Ministry of Education, Sciences and Technology, Sports and Culture (MEXT) for Scientific Research (No. JP16H03978), by a priority issue (Elucidation of the fundamental laws and evolution of the universe) to be tackled by using Post K Computer, and by Joint Institute for Computational Fundamental Science (JICFuS). T.O. is supported in part by the Grant-in-Aid of the Japanese Ministry of Education, Sciences and Technology, Sports and Culture (MEXT) for Scientific Research (No. 26400248).

A $\mathcal{N} = 1$ SUSY in two dimensions

This appendix summarizes some notations for $\mathcal{N} = 1$ SUSY in two dimensions, based on Ref.[18].

Integrations over the coordinate x , momentum p and the supercoordinate θ are given by

$$\int_x = \int d^2x, \quad \int_p = \int \frac{d^2p}{(2\pi)^2}, \quad \int_\theta = \int d^2\theta = \frac{i}{2} \int d\theta_2 d\theta_1, \quad (\text{A.1})$$

$$, \quad (\text{A.2})$$

We consider the two dimensional Euclidean theory, whose metric is $\eta_{\mu\nu} \equiv (+, +)$ for $\mu, \nu = 1, 2$. The scalar superfield is

$$\Phi(x, \theta) = \varphi(x) + \bar{\theta}\psi(x) + \frac{1}{2}\bar{\theta}\theta F(x). \quad (\text{A.3})$$

where ψ and θ are two component spinors given by

$$\psi = \begin{pmatrix} \psi_1 \\ \psi_2 \end{pmatrix}, \theta = \begin{pmatrix} \theta_1 \\ \theta_2 \end{pmatrix}, \quad (\text{A.4})$$

and the Dirac conjugate is defined by

$$\bar{\theta} \equiv {}^T\theta\sigma_2 = \begin{pmatrix} i\theta_2, & -i\theta_1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad (\text{A.5})$$

$$\bar{\psi} \equiv {}^T\psi\sigma_2 = \begin{pmatrix} i\psi_2, & -i\psi_1 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (\text{A.6})$$

The gamma matrix in two dimensions is given by

$$\gamma_\mu \equiv \sigma_\mu, \quad (\text{A.7})$$

where σ_μ is the Pauli matrices defined as

$$\sigma_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \sigma_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -i \\ i & 0 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (\text{A.8})$$

We thus obtain for arbitrary two component spinors ψ and χ

$$\bar{\psi}\chi = \bar{\chi}\psi \quad (\text{A.9})$$

$$\bar{\psi}\gamma^\mu\chi = -\bar{\chi}\gamma^\mu\psi, \quad (\text{A.10})$$

$$\bar{\psi}\gamma^\mu\gamma^\nu\chi = \bar{\chi}\gamma^\nu\gamma^\mu\psi. \quad (\text{A.11})$$

The super covariant derivative is defined by

$$D_\alpha \equiv \frac{\partial}{\partial\theta_\alpha} - (\not{\partial}\theta)_\alpha, \quad \bar{D}_\alpha \equiv \frac{\partial}{\partial\theta_\alpha} - (\bar{\theta}\not{\partial})_\alpha, \quad (\text{A.12})$$

while the supercharge is given by

$$Q_\alpha = \frac{\partial}{\partial\theta_\alpha} + (\not{\partial}\theta)_\alpha, \quad \bar{Q}_\alpha = \frac{\partial}{\partial\theta_\alpha} + (\bar{\theta}\not{\partial})_\alpha. \quad (\text{A.13})$$

We use here the Feynman slash notation,

$$\not{\partial} \equiv \gamma_\mu\partial_\mu \quad (\text{A.14})$$

$$(\text{A.15})$$

Note that

$$\bar{D} = {}^T(\sigma_2 D) \quad (\text{A.16})$$

holds. Considering the super covariant derivative of the scalar superfield, we obtain

$$D_\alpha \Phi = \psi_\alpha + \theta_\alpha F - (\partial\theta)_\alpha(\varphi + \bar{\theta}\psi), \quad (\text{A.17})$$

$$\bar{D}_\alpha \Phi = -\bar{\psi}_\alpha - \bar{\theta}_\alpha F - (\bar{\theta}\bar{\partial})_\alpha(\varphi + \bar{\psi}\theta), \quad (\text{A.18})$$

Then we obtain

$$\{D_\alpha, \bar{D}_\beta\} = -2\partial_{\alpha\beta}, \quad (\text{A.19})$$

$$\{D_\alpha, Q_\beta\} = 0. \quad (\text{A.20})$$

It is also easy to see that the following relation holds.

$$(\bar{D}_\alpha D_\alpha)^2 = 4\partial^2. \quad (\text{A.21})$$

B SNLSM in two dimensions in the large N limit

We consider the $\mathcal{N} = 1$ SNLSM in two dimensions[19]. This appendix is the review of Refs.[18, 20]. We derive the same result by the different method.

B.1 Action

The action is

$$S(\Phi) = \frac{1}{2g^2} \int_{x,\theta} \bar{D}\Phi D\Phi, \quad (\text{B.1})$$

where the superfield $\Phi(x, \theta) = \varphi(x) + \bar{\theta}\psi(x) + \frac{1}{2}\bar{\theta}\theta F(x)$ is a N components vector field, which satisfies

$$\sum_{\alpha=1}^N (\Phi^\alpha(x))^2 = 1. \quad (\text{B.2})$$

The generating function $Z(J)$ with a source J is given by

$$Z(J) = \int DLD\Phi e^{-S_{tot}(\Phi, L, J)}, \quad (\text{B.3})$$

where

$$S_{tot}(\Phi, L, J) = \frac{1}{2g^2} \int_{x,\theta} \bar{D}\Phi D\Phi + \frac{1}{g^2} \int_{x,\theta} L(\Phi^2 - 1) - \frac{1}{g^2} J \cdot \Phi \quad (\text{B.4})$$

Here we introduce $L(x, \theta) = M(x) + \bar{\theta}l(x) + \frac{1}{2}\bar{\theta}\theta\lambda(x)$ as the Lagrange multiplier superfield. Integrating out Φ , we obtain

$$Z(J) = \int DLe^{-S_{eff}(L, J)}, \quad (\text{B.5})$$

where

$$S_{eff}(L, J) = \frac{N}{2} \text{Str} \log K - \frac{N}{\kappa} \int_{x,\theta} L(x, \theta) - \frac{N}{2\kappa} J \cdot K^{-1} \cdot J, \quad (\text{B.6})$$

$$K(x, \theta) = -\bar{D}D + 2L, \quad (\text{B.7})$$

and $\kappa \equiv g^2 N$ is the t'Hooft coupling.

B.2 Super Propagator

We define the Δ as follows,

$$\Delta_{x,\theta,x',\theta'} = \langle \Phi(0, x, \theta) \Phi(0, x', \theta') \rangle \quad (\text{B.8})$$

$$= \langle x, \theta | (-\bar{D}D + 2L)^{-1} | x', \theta' \rangle \quad (\text{B.9})$$

$$= \int_k e^{ik(x-x')} \tilde{\Delta}(k, \theta, \theta'). \quad (\text{B.10})$$

Here we used the translation invariance of the coordinate x . $\tilde{\Delta}(k, \theta, \theta')$ satisfies the relation

$$(-\bar{D}D + 2L(\theta))\tilde{\Delta}(k, \theta, \theta') = \delta^2(\theta' - \theta), \quad (\text{B.11})$$

where

$$\delta^2(\theta' - \theta) = (\bar{\theta}' - \bar{\theta})(\theta' - \theta). \quad (\text{B.12})$$

We take the explicit form of the super propagator as

$$\tilde{\Delta}(k, \theta, \theta') = a_1 + a_2 \bar{\theta}\theta + a_3 \bar{\theta}'\theta' + a_4 \bar{\theta}\theta' + a_5 i\bar{\theta}k\theta' + a_6 \bar{\theta}\theta\bar{\theta}'\theta'. \quad (\text{B.13})$$

Using eq. (B.11), we obtain coefficients as

$$\begin{aligned} a_1 &= \frac{1}{k^2 + \lambda + M^2}, \quad a_2 = a_3 = \frac{\frac{1}{2}M}{k^2 + \lambda + M^2}, \quad a_4 = -\frac{M}{k^2 + M^2}, \\ a_5 &= \frac{1}{k^2 + M^2}, \quad a_6 = -\frac{1}{4} \frac{k^2 + \lambda}{k^2 + \lambda + M^2}. \end{aligned} \quad (\text{B.14})$$

Thus the super propagator is given by

$$\tilde{\Delta}(k, \theta, \theta') = \frac{1 + \frac{1}{2}M(\bar{\theta}\theta + \bar{\theta}'\theta') - \frac{1}{4}(k^2 + \lambda)\bar{\theta}\theta\bar{\theta}'\theta'}{k^2 + \lambda + M^2} - \frac{\bar{\theta}(-ik + M)\theta'}{k^2 + M^2}, \quad (\text{B.15})$$

and then

$$\tilde{\Delta}(k, \theta, \theta) = \frac{1 + M\bar{\theta}\theta}{k^2 + m^2} - \frac{M\bar{\theta}\theta}{k^2 + M^2}, \quad (\text{B.16})$$

where we introduce the boson mass as

$$m = \sqrt{\lambda + M^2}. \quad (\text{B.17})$$

As we will see in Appendix B.4, the lowest energy is realized at $m = M$, so that the super propagator is rewritten by

$$\tilde{\Delta}(k, \theta, \theta') = \frac{1 + \frac{1}{2}m(\bar{\theta}\theta + \bar{\theta}'\theta') - \frac{1}{4}k^2\bar{\theta}\theta\bar{\theta}'\theta'}{k^2 + m^2} - \frac{\bar{\theta}(-ik + m)\theta'}{k^2 + m^2}. \quad (\text{B.18})$$

B.3 Saddle Point Equation

The saddle point equation by varying S_{eff} in terms of L is provided by

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{\delta S_{\text{eff}}}{\delta L} &= N \langle x, \theta | (-\bar{D}D + 2L)^{-1} | x', \theta' \rangle - \frac{N}{\kappa} + \frac{N}{\kappa} (K^{-1} \cdot J)^2 \\ &= 0.\end{aligned}\tag{B.19}$$

Since the effective action S_{eff} is proportional to N , the path integral over the superfield L is dominated by the effective action at the solution of eq. (B.19) L_0 in the large N limit as

$$Z(J) = e^{-\bar{S}_{\text{eff}}(J)},\tag{B.20}$$

where $\bar{S}_{\text{eff}}(J) = S_{\text{eff}}(L_0, J)$. We define the superfield Φ_{cl} by

$$\Phi_{cl} = -\frac{\delta \bar{S}_{\text{eff}}(J)}{\delta J/g^2} = \bar{K}^{-1} J,\tag{B.21}$$

where $\bar{K} = -\bar{D}D + 2L_0$. We introduce the $\Gamma(\Phi_{cl})$ through the equation

$$\Gamma(\Phi_{cl}) \equiv -\bar{S}_{\text{eff}}(J) - \frac{J}{g^2} \Phi_{cl}\tag{B.22}$$

$$= -\frac{N}{2} \text{Str} \log \bar{K} + \frac{N}{\kappa} \int_{x, \theta} L_0 - \frac{N}{2\kappa} \Phi_{cl} \cdot \bar{K} \cdot \Phi_{cl},\tag{B.23}$$

so that $\Gamma(\Phi_{cl})$ satisfies

$$\frac{\delta \Gamma(\Phi_{cl})}{\delta \Phi_{cl}} = -\frac{J}{g^2}.\tag{B.24}$$

The saddle point Φ_{cl} of $\Gamma(\Phi_{cl})$ satisfies

$$(-\bar{D}D + 2L_0)\Phi_{cl} = 0.\tag{B.25}$$

Using the superfield Φ_{cl} and the translation invariance in the saddle point, we can rewrite eq. (B.19) as

$$\int_k \tilde{\Delta}(k, \theta, \theta) = \frac{1}{\kappa} - \frac{\Phi_{cl}^2}{\kappa}.\tag{B.26}$$

The component forms of eqs.(B.25) and (B.26) are provided by

$$F - M\varphi = 0,\tag{B.27}$$

$$\lambda\varphi + MF = 0,\tag{B.28}$$

$$\Omega_2(m) = \frac{1}{\kappa}(1 - \varphi^2),\tag{B.29}$$

$$M\Omega_2(m) - M\Omega_2(M) = -\frac{F\varphi}{\kappa},\tag{B.30}$$

where

$$\Omega_2(m) \equiv \int \frac{d^2 p}{(2\pi)^2} \frac{1}{p^2 + m^2} = \frac{1}{4\pi} \log \frac{\Lambda^2}{m^2}. \quad (\text{B.31})$$

Eqs. (B.27) and (B.28) lead to

$$m^2 \varphi = 0, \quad (\text{B.32})$$

which implies either $m^2 = 0$ or $\varphi = 0$. The former possibility is, however, prohibited by eq. (B.29), so that the system always lies in the $O(N)$ symmetric phase $\varphi = 0$, which is different from the SNLSM at $d = 3$.

Eq. (B.29) becomes

$$m = \Lambda e^{-\frac{2\pi}{\kappa}}, \quad (\text{B.33})$$

which means that this system is asymptotical free.

B.4 Action Density

We calculate the action density, which is defined by $\epsilon/N = \bar{S}_{\text{eff}}(J)/V$, as

$$\epsilon/N = \frac{1}{8\pi} \left(m^2 - M^2 + 2M^2 \log \frac{M}{m} \right). \quad (\text{B.34})$$

Here we used eqs.(B.27)-(B.30) and

$$\frac{\text{Str} \log \bar{K}}{V} = \text{tr} \log(k^2 + \lambda + M^2) - \text{tr} \log(k + M) \quad (\text{B.35})$$

$$= \frac{1}{4\pi} (m^2 - M^2) + m^2 \Omega_2(m) - M^2 \Omega_2(M), \quad (\text{B.36})$$

Thus the action density is not negative, and the lowest energy is realized by the supersymmetric solution that $M = m$ ($\lambda = 0$).

References

- [1] M. Luscher, “Trivializing maps, the Wilson flow and the HMC algorithm,” [Commun.Math.Phys.](#) **293** (2010) 899–919, [arXiv:0907.5491 \[hep-lat\]](#).
- [2] M. Luscher, “Properties and uses of the Wilson flow in lattice QCD,” [JHEP](#) **1008** (2010) 071, [arXiv:1006.4518 \[hep-lat\]](#).
- [3] M. Luscher and P. Weisz, “Perturbative analysis of the gradient flow in non-abelian gauge theories,” [JHEP](#) **1102** (2011) 051, [arXiv:1101.0963 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [4] M. Luscher, “Chiral symmetry and the Yang–Mills gradient flow,” [JHEP](#) **1304** (2013) 123, [arXiv:1302.5246 \[hep-lat\]](#).
- [5] H. Suzuki, “Energy-momentum tensor from the Yang–Mills gradient flow,” [PTEP](#) **2013** (2013) 083B03, [arXiv:1304.0533 \[hep-lat\]](#). [Erratum: PTEP2015,079201(2015)].

- [6] **FlowQCD** Collaboration, M. Asakawa, T. Hatsuda, E. Itou, M. Kitazawa, and H. Suzuki, “Thermodynamics of SU(3) gauge theory from gradient flow on the lattice,” [*Phys. Rev.* **D90** no. 1, \(2014\) 011501](#), [arXiv:1312.7492 \[hep-lat\]](#). [Erratum: *Phys. Rev.* **D92**, no. 5, 059902 (2015)].
- [7] L. Del Debbio, A. Patella, and A. Rago, “Space-time symmetries and the Yang-Mills gradient flow,” [*JHEP* **11** \(2013\) 212](#), [arXiv:1306.1173 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [8] G. Curci and G. Veneziano, “Supersymmetry and the Lattice: A Reconciliation?,” [*Nucl. Phys.* **B292** \(1987\) 555–572](#).
- [9] **DESY-Munster-Roma** Collaboration, F. Farchioni, C. Gebert, R. Kirchner, I. Montvay, A. Feo, G. Munster, T. Galla, and A. Vladikas, “The Supersymmetric Ward identities on the lattice,” [*Eur. Phys. J.* **C23** \(2002\) 719–734](#), [arXiv:hep-lat/0111008 \[hep-lat\]](#).
- [10] K. Kikuchi and T. Onogi, “Generalized Gradient Flow Equation and Its Application to Super Yang-Mills Theory,” [*JHEP* **1411** \(2014\) 094](#), [arXiv:1408.2185 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [11] K. Fujikawa, “The gradient flow in $\lambda\phi^4$ theory,” [*JHEP* **03** \(2016\) 021](#), [arXiv:1601.01578 \[hep-lat\]](#).
- [12] H. Makino and H. Suzuki, “Renormalizability of the gradient flow in the two-dimensional $O(N)$ non-linear sigma model,” [arXiv:1410.7538 \[hep-lat\]](#).
- [13] S. Aoki, K. Kikuchi, and T. Onogi, “Gradient Flow of $O(N)$ nonlinear sigma model at large N ,” [*JHEP* **04** \(2015\) 156](#), [arXiv:1412.8249 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [14] H. Makino, F. Sugino, and H. Suzuki, “Large- N limit of the gradient flow in the 2D $O(N)$ nonlinear sigma model,” [*PTEP* **2015** no. 4, \(2015\) 043B07](#), [arXiv:1412.8218 \[hep-lat\]](#).
- [15] S. Aoki, J. Balog, T. Onogi, and P. Weisz, “Flow equation for the scalar model in the large N expansion and its applications,” [arXiv:1701.00046 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [16] S. Aoki, K. Kikuchi, and T. Onogi, “Geometries from field theories,” [*PTEP* **2015** no. 10, \(2015\) 101B01](#), [arXiv:1505.00131 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [17] S. Aoki, J. Balog, T. Onogi, and P. Weisz, “Flow equation for the large N scalar model and induced geometries,” [arXiv:1605.02413 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [18] M. Moshe and J. Zinn-Justin, “Quantum field theory in the large N limit: A Review,” [*Phys. Rept.* **385** \(2003\) 69–228](#), [arXiv:hep-th/0306133 \[hep-th\]](#).
- [19] E. Witten, “A Supersymmetric Form of the Nonlinear Sigma Model in Two-Dimensions,” [*Phys. Rev.* **D16** \(1977\) 2991](#).
- [20] M. Moshe and J. Zinn-Justin, “Phase structure of supersymmetric models at finite temperature,” [*Nucl. Phys.* **B648** \(2003\) 131–160](#), [arXiv:hep-th/0209045 \[hep-th\]](#).